From:
To: West Midlands Interchange

Subject: Re: West Midland Interchange Ref: TR050005

Date: 02 April 2019 22:34:20

Reference: West Midlands Interchange

Your Reference: TRO50005

My identification number: 20013201

Dear Mr Singleton

Thank you for reading my objections to the plans submitted by West Midlands Interchange the proposed site will destroy 700 acres of green belt land which i strongly believe should be protected as green belt . Almost the entire 700 acres are owned by one land owner Mr Piers Monkton who is a partner in Four Ashes Ltd and i feel he should be protecting this green belt land for future generations not destroying it and that the land owner should not have a vested interest in the company proposing these plans, many acres of woodland will be destroyed and the large amount of wild life will be killed and all wild life habitat will be destroyed. The roads in this area are already congested and will be unable to cope with an extra 6,300 HGVs and 12,300 cars, the pollution from these vehicles will cause a cancer risk to local residents as research has proved ill health caused by vehicle fumes. The planed area is not on the outskirts of local villages it is going into the heart of the villages Calf Heath has 6 lanes and the proposed site is going into 4 of the lanes, all the way along some of them. Between the first and second consultations there was a fatal accident involving a cyclist and a van in vicarage road, vicarage road is the proposed entrance route for all workers going to the site, when this accident happened the straight mile and stable lane came to a stand still as all traffic was diverted large hGV's were directed down stable lane.

I like other residents live in the country side for the life style paying a premium for property to enjoy walking cycling and horse riding residents life style will be destroyed it will no longer be safe to enjoy any of these activities, there are no footpaths along the narrow lanes that two cars can not pass along. It will be unsafe for the many horse riders in this area, it is unsafe for large vehicles and large amounts of traffic to be passing horses and the noise from the development will be a danger. The noise when this development is being built will be unbearable and when it is running. Residents will suffer from light pollution, noise, and vehicle fumes. There are no guarantees that business on this development will be using the rail way, this area does not have high unemployment Stoke On Trent want this type of development but i feel the main reason this site has been proposed is that the land is owned by a partner in Four Ashes Ltd. An expert has concluded that he has never seen a proposal that was so damaging to the water ways. This development should be built on brownfield sites not destroying 700 acres of precious green belt the area proposed for this site is totally unsuitable and there is no reason for the site to extend to the opposite side of vicarage road other than Mr Frost of Four Ashes Ltd has told me that without that land the development would not be large enough to be considered by the planning inspectorate it has no access to the rail way. Thank you for

taking into consideration my objections.

Mrs Janet Perry